

REMARKS

Claim 22 *inter alia* calls for a transmitter to transmit requests for information "with appended position information" and a processor that sorts through information received in response to such request based on appended position information. Nothing of the sort is described in any of the material cited within Hoffberg.

For the first limitation, column 26, lines 45-50 are cited. This is puzzling since there is nothing even relating to requests, much less requests with appended position information. This material talks about a location sensing system that includes a GPS receiver that outputs Cartesian coordinates, latitude, and longitude, etc. But this has nothing to do with a transmitter that transmits requests for information or a transmitter that transmits requests for information with appended position information. It simply talks about position information, not requests for information that have appended to them position information. Since the reference is silent, the Section 102 rejection should be reconsidered.


The claim also calls for sorting through information received in response to such a request based on the appended position information. Again, nothing supports this in the cited patent, most certainly because there is no transmitter that transmits such requests for information with appended position information and, therefore, there can be no sorting through information received in response to such a request based on appended position information. Certainly, the information cited in support of a proposition is inapposite. Column 22, lines 27-40 talks about storing information related to an event. This has nothing to do with transmitting requests for information, transmitting such requests of appended position information, or sorting through information received in response related to appended position information.

Likewise, column 28, line 50 through column 29, line 7 seems particularly irrelevant. This material does talk about sorting priorities, which has nothing to do with the claimed invention. There is nothing that even relates to a transmitter than transmits a request for information with appended position information or to a processor that sorts through information received in response to such request based on appended position information. There is no discussion of sorting through responses to a request for information with appended position information based on the appended position information provided in a response.

Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 9/14/06



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 South Voss Road, Suite 750
Houston, TX 77057-2631
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation